# A new topology for future detectors the 4<sup>th</sup> ET Meeting Dec 4, 2012 Tokyo Inst of Technology K.Somiya $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$

## ET optical configuration



- Xylophone with 20K LF and 300K HF detectors
- Frequency-dependent squeezing with filter cavities

### ET Sensitivity



[Low-frequency Sensitivity]

- Underground & Cryogenic
- Detuned RSE with filter cavity
- Alternative configuration: Speed-meter

   > Stefan's talk

## ET Sensitivity



[High-frequency Sensitivity]

- $\int 10$  for squeezing
- $\int 4$  for power  $\times 10$  better than 2G
- $\int 2.5$  for length
- Limited by shot noise above 1kHz

# <u>GW at high frequencies</u>



 $^{\mathrm{T}}$ 

# Advanced configurations for HF GWs

- Optical bar regime [Braginsky 1997] [Rehbein 2007]
- Optical lever [Khalili 2002] [Chen 2003]
- Parametric amplifier [KS and Chen, GWADW 2010]
- Intra-cavity squeezing

#### **Bandwidth of conventional IFOs**



In RSE, carrier is in anti-resonance in the SRC. If the arm were shorter, SR gain could be more.

### <u>Concept of the bar regime</u>

#### With detuning...



#### ITM and ETM move together below resonance. GW can be measured by a local motion of ITM.



Small mirrors and low-finesse arm cavities to raise the optical spring frequency.







#### **Optical Bar to Optical Lever**

Optical Bar: high power on the small mirror to raise the spring frequency

Optical lever: high power on a big mirror and lower power on a small mirror

Optical lever is rather like an amplifier.

#### Ponderomotive amplifier (Optical Lever)



High power on big mirrors. Low power on small mirrors.

#### Ponderomotive amplifier (Optical Lever) 10kg [Chen 03] Amplifier 10km 1g 10kg 1m <sup>-</sup>Sig Pump Carrier High power on big mirrors. Low power on small mirrors.

# <u>Sensitivity gain with PA</u>



Sorry that I only have a result for LIGO ...

- Big reduction of shot noise up to kHz
- Strong against optical losses

#### Can we replace PA by a crystal squeezer?



- Squeezing procedure is similar
- Crystal squeezing can be even done at MHz

# Parametric amplifier with OPO



- The gain turns out to be zero without the detuning
- Optical spring frequency moves as if I<sub>0</sub> -> I<sub>0</sub>/s
- Optical resonance moves as if cos\$\$\phi\$ -> cos\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ (s<sup>2</sup>+1)/2s

The amplifier does not increase the signal but increase the spring frequency.



 $I_0 \rightarrow I_0/s \qquad \qquad Cos\phi \rightarrow cos\phi (s^2+1)/2s$ 

The amplifier changes the dynamics and shifts the spring frequency (different from input SQ).

# Discussion on parametric amplifiers

[complex model]



- An OPO will be needed to realize a few dB squeeze
- Frequency-dependent SQ would make a broadband signal amplification -> Ponderomotive amplifier -> Combine with speedmeter?
- More theoretical investigation necessary
- R&D experiment started at Tokyo Tech

#### Intracavity squeezing?





- Impedance-matched SRC possible
- Conventional sensitivity can be realized with a lower power
- Very weak against losses

#### <u>Summary</u>

- Study of a possible better configuration for ET-HF
- Optical Bar in ET

   high power and RP noise issues
- Introduction of Ponderomotive amplifier
- Amplifier with intra-cavity squeezer
   shift of optical spring frequency
- Intracavity squeeze is weak against losses

### <u>Supplementary slides</u>

#### Intracavity squeezing with losses

